UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,891	02/28/2007	Nagalakshmi Sreekantayya	U 016345-0	7555	
140 LADAS & PAF	7590 10/16/200 RRY LLP	9	EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61ST	·· ·	WONG, LESLIE A			
NEW YORK, N	NY 10023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/16/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/582,891		SREEKANTAYYA ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Leslie Wong		1794					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRC - Extensions of time may be available under tafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p - Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	M THE MAILING I the provisions of 37 CFR 1 the of this communication. maximum statutory period priod for reply will, by statularee months after the maili	DATE OF THIS .136(a). In no event, d will apply and will ex te, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tir kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
 1) Responsive to communica 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with 	2b)∐ Thi condition for allowa	is action is non ance except fo	r formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ 5) Claim(s) is/are allow 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are obje 8) Claim(s) are subjec Application Papers	is/are withdra ved. I. cted to. t to restriction and/	awn from cons or election req							
9) The specification is objecte 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet(s 11) The oath or declaration is o	is/are: a) ☐ ac it any objection to the i) including the correc	ccepted or b) e drawing(s) be loction is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) 5) 6)	T = .	ate					

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramarethinam et al in view of Lehmberg et al (US 5952023) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action.

Ramarethinam et al disclose the treatment of rolled tea material with sprayed lipase (see entire document, especially page 331).

The claims differ as to the use of a solvent and drying.

Lehmberg et al disclose the treatment of tea with lipase wherein water is the solvent for the enzyme and the product is dried (see entire patent, especially claims 1,5, 6, and 9).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use water and drying as taught by Lehmberg et al in that of Ramarethinam et al because the use of water allows for uniform enzyme distribution and drying is conventional in the tea art.

Applicant is using known components for their art-recognized function to obtain no more than expected results.

Applicant's arguments filed July 1, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Lehmberg et al add an enzyme to an extract after fermentation.

First, it is noted that the claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramarethinam et al in view of Lehmberg et al (US 5952023).

Applicant does not address Ramarethinam et al.

Lehmberg et al is cited to teach water as a conventional solvent for lipase and the conventional step of drying.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (571)272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,891 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leslie Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

LAW October 13, 2009